Of 100+10+10+10 = 130/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATISGARH)

W. P. NO. 2194 OF 2002

PETITIONER

Prashant Saxena, S/o Dr. L. R. Saxena, aged about 39 years, Sole Proprietor M/s P. S.Metal Industries, A-84, Lajpat Rai Market, Chiklod Road, Bhopal (Madhya Pradesh)

P.R. No. 2185/02
Presented by Shri Surendra Shrivastava
date 09/10/02

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through, Secretary, Public Works Deptt. Mantralaya, D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. M.P.Laghu Udyog Nigam thru' it's General Manager, Bhopal.

3. Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Division No1. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

(26)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No 2194/02 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	21-4-2003 Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Adv. for the petitioner. Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General, for the State. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. Heard. Counsel for the petitioner has produced a copy of the order dated 14-1-2002 passed by this Court in W.P. No. 1667/2002. He submitted that the subject matter of the present petition is similar to that of Writ Petition No. 1667/2002. It is not disputed by other side. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record and without entering into the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court it would be just and proper that the petitioner to file a detailed representation along with the copy of the petition before the respondent No. 2/Chief Engineer and if such a representation is made the respondent No. 2 shall consider and decide it in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of production of the representation. On examination, if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to receipt of such payment, necessary action be taken as has already been observed by this Court in W.P. No. 2173/2001.	

GRPRJ—12/2000—50,000.

(27)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2194/02 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	It is made clear that non-compliance of this order shall be viewed seriously. With the abovesaid observations, this petition is disposed of at this stage. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	